THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.9202 of 2001

08.11.2005

Between:

Shri S.Umamaheswara Rao, S/o.Late S.Jagga Rao

… Petitioner

AND

1. Chief Engineer (W&ES) Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad

and another

… Respondents

ORDER:

The petitioner is a Class I contractor. In this writ petition, he challenges condition Nos.6, 9 and 10 in the chit tender notice dated 24.1.2001. Copy of the tender conditions is not annexed to this writ petition. Be that as it is, in the affidavit accompanying the writ petition, the petitioner alleges that as per condition No.6, a tenderor is required to have liquid assets worth ranging from Rs.132.00 lakhs to Rs.1,121.00 lakhs and such a condition is impracticable as according to the petitioner, no contractor would hold such huge liquid assets. According to the petitioner, condition Nos.9 and 10 prescribed WBM and BT experience and these conditions are vague. The petitioner also alleges that such conditions were prescribed to disqualify the contractors at the threshold.

This Court, while admitting the writ petition, passed orders directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for the work without insisting the condition Nos.6, 9 and 10. In that view of the matter, nothing survives in the writ petition.

The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(**V.V.S.RAO,J**)

November 08, 2005.

YS